

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/837,020	Applicant(s) KOHNO ET AL.	
	Examiner Andrea M. Valenti	Art Unit 3643	

All Participants:

(1) Andrea M. Valenti.

(2) Michael S. Gzybowski.

Status of Application: Examiner's Answer

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2007

Time: 9:30 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Andrea M. Valenti
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant to request that applicant fax a revised Summary of Claimed Subject Matter section of the Appeal brief that was filed 04 May 2007. The Summary of Claimed Subject Matter did not properly map the limitations of the independent claim with regard to identifying the exact page and line number where each limitation of the claim could be located in the specification. Applicant agreed to fax a revised Summary of Claimed Subject Matter. Examiner also brought to the applicant's attention that the Related Appeals and Interferences Section should include all prior appeals and that the examiner would note that in the examiner's answer. .